

Kleene Modules for Routing Procedures

(work in progress)

Peter Höfner

Amsterdam September 13, 2012



Australian Government

Department of Broadband, Communications and the Digital Economy

Australian Research Council





The University of Sydney



Queensland









NICTA Partners

LEOUINE

6



Routing Procedures

- routing procedures
 - (shortest) path algorithms
 - routing protocols
 - networks (e.g. Wireless Mesh Networks)
 - internet
- examples
 - Dijkstra's Shortest Path
 - Floyd/Warshall
 - Border Gateway Protocol (BGP)
 - Ad-hoc On-demand Distance Vector (AODV) protocol

Formal Methods for Mesh Networks

• aim

 model, analyse, verify and increase the performance of wireless mesh protocols

NICTA

- develop suitable formal methods techniques

benefits

- more reliable protocols
- finding and fixing bugs
- better performance
- proving correctness
- reduce "time-to-market"

Why Formal Methods



If your DOG does a POO Please put it in a litter bin.

Please help keep our open spaces clean.

© NICTA 2012

Why Formal Methods



If your DOG does a POO Please put it in a litter bin.

Please help keep our open spaces clean.

© NICTA 2012

Why (Kleene) Algebra

- suitable Operators
 - $+ \longleftrightarrow$ choice
 - $\cdot \leftrightarrow \text{composition}$
 - $* \longleftrightarrow$ iteration
 - $\leq \longleftrightarrow$ natural order
 - $(x \le y \Leftrightarrow x + y = y)$

- successful in the past
 - shortest path algorithm [MaxPlus,Carre,Backhouse...]
 - Border Gateway protocol [SobrinhoGriffin,Roughan]

Routing Algebra - Elements, Operators

routing table entries (example)

(nhip, hops)

- special symbols: $(0, _)$, $(\infty, _)$
- choice: (5, A) + (2, B) = (2, B)
- multiplication: $(5, A) \cdot (2, B) = (7, A)$ – destination and source must coincide
- **.** . .
- both structures form monoid
- composition distributes over addition



- direct product (HSP-theorem)
- natural order should coincide with lexicographical order

Combining Algebras

- direct product (HSP-theorem)
- natural order should coincide with lexicographical order

• Theorem:

assume a cancellative semiring S and a semiring T then $(M,\oplus,\odot,(0,0),(1,1))$ is a semiring if

$$-M =_{df} (S - \{0\} \times T) \cup \{(0,0)\}$$

- addition is defined as

$$(a, x) \oplus (b, y) =_{df} \begin{cases} (a, x + y) & \text{if } a = b \\ (a, x) & \text{if } b < a \\ (b, y) & \text{if } a < b \\ (a + b, 0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- multiplication is defined point-wise

Combing Algebras

• Kleene star

$$(a, x)^* =_{df} \begin{cases} (1, 1) & \text{if } a < 1\\ (1, x^*) & \text{if } a = 1\\ (a^*, 0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- the maximal test set in the lexicographical model consists only of the units
- domain (image) and codomain (range) is trivial, and so are the modal operators

Matrices

- routing procedures usually use matrices over algebras
- matrices over routing table entries



NICTA

standard matrix operations

Example



• a route request is broadcast



$$\begin{pmatrix} (0, _) (1, B) (1, C) (\infty, _) \\ (1, A) (0, _) (\infty, _) (D, 1) \\ (1, A) (\infty, _) (0, _) (1, D) \\ (\infty, _) (1, B) (1, C) (0, _) \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} (0, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) \\ (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) \\ (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} (0, _) (1, B) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) \\ (3, D) (0, _) (\infty, _) (\infty, _) \\ (1, A) (\infty, _) (0, _) (1, D) \\ (2, C) (\infty, _) (1, C) (0, _) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{topology} \qquad \text{sender} \qquad \text{routing table}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (0, -) (1, B) (\infty, -) (\infty, -) \\ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{A}) (0, -) (\infty, -) (\infty, -) \\ (1, A) (\infty, -) (0, -) (1, D) \\ (2, C) (\infty, -) (1, C) (0, -) \end{pmatrix}$$

updated routing table

Example – Sending Messages

sending messages

$$a + p \cdot b \cdot q \cdot (1 + c)$$

• by distributivity

 $a + p \cdot b \cdot q + p \cdot b \cdot q \cdot c$

snapshot, 1-hop connection learnt, content sent

- broadcast, unicast, groupcast are the same (modelled by different topologies)
- Kleene star models flooding the network (modal operators terminate flooding)





- matrices of semirings are semirings
- matrices of Kleene algebras are Kleene algebras

- both distributivity laws are essential!
- otherwise one looses associativity

Lost Distributivity

• routing protocols use several components (a.o. timers)

NICTA

adding time stamps



 $r \cdot b = (5,2,B) \cdot (10,1,D) = (\max(5,10), 2+1, B \cdot D) = (10,3,B)$ $g \cdot b = (3,1,C) \cdot (10,1,D) = (\max(3,10), 1+1, C \cdot D) = (10,2,C)$

$$r \cdot b + g \cdot b \quad \neq \quad (r + g) \cdot b$$

Kleene Modules



– Kleene algebra for the topologies

NICTA

- monoids for routing tables

Kleene Modules

• Definition [Leiß06]:

(K, M, :) is a (left) semiring module if

– ${\cal K}$ is a Kleene algebra

 $-\boldsymbol{M}$ is idempotent commutative monoid

 $-: K \times M \to M$ scalar product (Peirce product)

$$1: a = a$$

$$t: 0 = 0$$

$$0: a = a$$

$$(t + t'): a = (t: a) + (t': a)$$

$$t: (a + a') = (t: a) + (t: a')$$

$$(t \cdot t'): a = t: (t': a)$$

$$(t:a+b \le b \Rightarrow t^*:a \le b)$$



- Kleene Algebras with partial multiplication
- reducts of Boolean modules (e.g. Peirce)
 algebra of relations (using inverse image)
- context-free languages, linear languages
- head languages and head grammars (Pollard)
- routing procedures
 - Kleene algebra: matrices of pairs
 - monoid: routing tables with time stamps

 $(2,B):(10,3,A) = (10,2+3,B\cdot A) = (10,5,B)$

(plus additional cases for 0)



- tests and points are used to restrict matrices (by use of multiplication)
- no multiplication on monoid (needed to select particular information)
- it is possible in the model if
 - if converse is available

$$\texttt{sel}(i,j,a) \ =_{d\!f} \ (j:(i:a) \check{}) \check{}$$

- selection over atoms (finitely generated)

$$\operatorname{diag}(a) =_{df} \sum_{i \in atoms} (i : (i : a)^{\vee})^{\vee}$$

Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Protocol

- AODV control messages
 - route request (RREQ)
 - route reply (RREP)
 - route error message (RERR)

- Main Mechanism
 - if route is needed
 BROADCAST RREQ
 - if node has information about a destination UNICAST RREP
 - if unicast fails or link break is detected
 SEND RERR

Example – Sending Messages

• Sending messages

$$\begin{aligned} a + (i \cdot t \cdot j) &: (\operatorname{diag}(a) + c) \\ &= a + (i \cdot t \cdot j) : \operatorname{diag}(a) + (i \cdot t \cdot j) : c \end{aligned}$$

NICTA

• Broadcasting messages through entire network $a + (t' \cdot |t'^*\rangle j) : \operatorname{diag}(a) + t'^* : \operatorname{sel}(i, j, a)$ where $t' =_{df} t \cdot |a^{\uparrow}] \neg i$



$$0^{\uparrow} = 0$$
$$(t:a)^{\uparrow} = t \cdot (a^{\uparrow})$$
$$(a+b)^{\uparrow} \neq a^{\uparrow} + b^{\uparrow}$$

NICTA

• next hop operator

Miscellaneous / Future Work

- implementation of the model to play with
- theorems at algebraic level proven automatically (Prover9/Sledgehammer of Isabelle/HOL)
- can everything be lifted to the algebraic level?
- important properties loop freedom, route correctness
- probably domain-theoretic (model) knowledge needed
- use Isabelle/HOL to switch between model and algebra

From imagination to impact



From imagination to impact

RFC 3561



• Request for Comments (de facto standard)

sequence number field is set to false. The route is only updated if the new sequence number is either

- (i) higher than the destination sequence number in the route table, or
- (ii) the sequence numbers are equal, but the hop count (of the new information) plus one, is smaller than the existing hop count in the routing table, or
- (iii) the sequence number is unknown.