

# Towards an Algebra of Hybrid Systems

Peter Höfner and Bernhard Möller

Institut für Informatik, Universität Augsburg

# 1 Introduction

## Basic Definition

Hybrid systems are heterogeneous systems characterised by the interaction of discrete and continuous dynamics.

Effective tool for modelling, design and analysis of technological systems.

Fields of application:

- (air-)traffic controls
- car-locating systems
- chemical and biological processes
- automated manufacturing

## 2 Trajectory-Based Model

Aim: algebraic characterisation of hybrid systems

- approach by Left Semirings
- usage of trajectories as carrier set
- trajectories are pairs of a duration of a time-interval and a function
- more handy characterisation of hybrid systems
- based on Sintzoff, Henzinger, Davoren, Lynch

### trajectories:

Let  $V$  be a set of values and  $D$  a set of *durations*  
(e.g.  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , ...)

- $(D, +, 0)$  commutative monoid
- $+$  cancellative
- linear order on  $D$ :  $x \leq y \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists z. x + z = y$ 
  - $0$  least element
  - $0$  indivisible, e.g.  $x + y = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y = 0$
- possibility of element  $\infty \in D$ 
  - greatest element
  - not cancellative

For  $d \in D$  we define the *interval*  $\text{tim } d$  of admissible times as

$$\text{tim } d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} [0, d] & \text{if } d \neq \infty \\ [0, d[ & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.1** A *trajectory*  $t$  is a pair  $(d, g)$ , where  $d \in D$  and  $g : \text{tim } d \rightarrow V$ . Then  $d$  is the *duration* of the trajectory, the image of  $\text{tim } d$  under  $g$  is its *range*  $\text{ran } (d, g)$

- we write  $(d, g)(0)$  for  $g(0)$
- the set of all trajectories is denoted **TRA**

composition of trajectories:

$$(d_1, g_1) \cdot (d_2, g_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} (d_1 + d_2, g) & \text{if } d_1 \neq \infty \wedge g_1(d_1) = g_2(0) \\ (d_1, g_1) & \text{if } d_1 = \infty \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with  $g(x) = g_1(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, d_1]$  and  $g(x + d_1) = g_2(x)$  for all  $x \in \text{tim } d_2$ .

case of a zero-length trajectory

- $(0, g_1) \cdot (d_2, g_2) = (d_2, g_2)$  if  $g_1(0) = g_2(0)$   
otherwise undefined
- $(d_2, g_2) \cdot (0, g_1) = (d_2, g_2)$  if  $d_2 \neq \infty$  and  $g_2(d_2) = g_1(0)$

**Definition 2.2** A *process* is a set of trajectories.

$$\text{inf } A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(d, g) \in A \mid d = \infty\} \quad \text{fin } A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A - \text{inf } A$$

Composition is lifted

$$A \cdot B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{inf } A \cup \{a \cdot b \mid a \in \text{fin } A, b \in B\}$$

The set  $I$  of all zero-length trajectories is the neutral element.

restricted form of composition (chop)

$$A \frown B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{fin } A) \cdot B ,$$

- yields only trajectories that actually reach the second process
- implies  $A \cdot B = \text{inf } A \cup A \frown B$

We now pass to a more abstract description.



### 3 Left Semirings and Modalities

**Definition 3.1** *left* or *lazy semiring*  $(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ :

- $(S, +, 0)$  commutative monoid
- $(S, \cdot, 1)$  monoid
- multiplication is left-distributive:

$$(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$$

- $0$  is a left annihilator:

$$0 \cdot a = 0 = a \cdot 0$$

(a semiring without strictness and right-distributivity)

**Definition 3.2** *idempotent* left semiring:

- $a + a = a$
- composition  $\cdot$  is right-isotone:  $b \leq c \Rightarrow a \cdot b \leq a \cdot c$   
where  $a \leq b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} a + b = b$
- *bounded* if there is a greatest element  $\top$

left-isotonicity of  $\cdot$  follows from its left-distributivity

moreover,  $0$  is the least element

**Definition 3.3** *left quantale (left standard Kleene algebra)*

$(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ :

- $(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  left semiring
- $S$  complete lattice under the natural order
- $\cdot$  is universally disjunctive in left argument

**Definition 3.4** *left Boolean quantale*

- completely distributive Boolean algebra as underlying lattice

examples:

- algebra of binary relations REL
- algebra of formal languages LAN
- processes PRO  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{P}(\text{TRA}), \cup, \emptyset, \cdot, I)$

**Definition 3.5** *left test semiring*  $(S, \text{test}(S))$ :

- $S$  idempotent left semiring
- $\text{test}(S) \subseteq [0, 1]$  Boolean subalgebra of the interval  $[0, 1]$  of  $S$  such that  $0, 1 \in \text{test}(S)$
- in  $\text{test}(S) : p + q = p \sqcup q, p \cdot q = p \sqcap q$
- $\neg$  denotes complementation in  $\text{test}(S)$

Analogously for quantales.

Consequence: If  $a \sqcap b$  exists then

$$p \cdot (a \sqcap b) = p \cdot a \sqcap b = p \cdot a \sqcap p \cdot b .$$

**Definition 3.6** *left domain semiring*  $(S, \lceil)$

- $S$  left test semiring
- *domain* operation  $\lceil: S \rightarrow \text{test}(S)$

$$a \leq \lceil a \cdot a, \quad \lceil(p \cdot a) \leq p, \quad \lceil(a \cdot \lceil b) \leq \lceil(a \cdot b) .$$

$$(a, b \in S, p \in \text{test}(S))$$

same axioms as domain semirings together with their relevant consequences [Möller04].

REL, LAN, PRO can be extended to left domain semirings

in PRO:

- $\text{test}(\text{PRO}) = \{(0, g) \mid g(0) = v, v \in V\}$  (zero-length processes)
- $\lceil A = \{(0, g(0)) \mid (d, g) \in A\}$  (starting points)
- $P \in \text{test}(\text{PRO}) \Rightarrow P \sqcap A \cdot B = (P \sqcap A) \cdot (P \sqcap B)$

Moreover,  $\cdot$  in PRO is even right-distributive.

**Definition 3.7** *left Kleene algebra*  $(S, *)$

- $S$  idempotent semiring
- $*$  operation

$$1 + a \cdot a^* \leq a^* , \quad b + a \cdot c \leq c \Rightarrow a^* \cdot b \leq c .$$

**Definition 3.8** *left  $\omega$  algebra*  $(S, \omega)$

- $S$  left Kleene algebra
- $\omega$  operation

$$a^\omega = a \cdot a^\omega , \quad c \leq a \cdot c + b \Rightarrow c \leq a^\omega + a^* \cdot b .$$



## 4 Temporal Operators

Generalization of the quantifier-like operators by Sintzoff of type  
 $\text{PRO} \rightarrow (\text{PRO} \rightarrow \text{test}(\text{PRO}))$

(e.g.  $E'A . W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \top(A \cap W)$ )

$$t \in EA . W = \exists u \in A : t \cdot u \in W = W \lfloor A,$$

$$t \in AA . W = \forall u \in A : t \cdot u \in W = W / A,$$

$$AEA . W = (EA . W) \sqcap (AA . W),$$

where  $x \leq b/a \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} x \cdot a \leq b$  is the left residual and  $b \lfloor a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\overline{b/a}}$  is the right detachment.

**Lemma 4.1** In a Boolean test quantale

$$\top(b \sqcap w) = w \lfloor b \sqcap 1 = b \lfloor w \sqcap 1 .$$

**Lemma 4.2** Setting  $\langle a \rangle b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E a . b$  and  $[a] b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A a . b$  makes these operators into proper modal operators:

1.  $\langle a \rangle$  is universally disjunctive and  $[a]$  is universally conjunctive.
2.  $\langle a \cdot b \rangle c = \langle a \rangle (\langle b \rangle c)$  and  $[a \cdot b] c = [a] ([b] c)$ .
3. If  $\cdot$  is positively disjunctive in its right argument, then  $\langle - \rangle$  is positively disjunctive and  $[ - ]$  is positively antidisjunctive.

( $\langle - \rangle$  and  $[ - ]$  describe the possible and the guaranteed reachability)

## 5 Range-Restriction Operators

in PRO:

- restrict the range  $\text{ran } A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{t \in A} \text{ran } t$

- $P \in \text{test}(\text{PRO})$  is isomorphic to a subset  $S \subseteq V$

- $\diamond P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F \cdot P \cdot T$

set of all trajectories whose range has one point in  $P$ ,

i.e.,  $\diamond P = \{(d, g) \in \text{TRA} \mid \exists x \in \text{tim } d : \text{ran } (0, g(x)) \subseteq P\}$

- $\square P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\diamond \neg P}$

set of all trajectories whose range remains fully in  $P$ ,

i.e.,  $\square P = \{t \in \text{TRA} \mid \text{ran } t \subseteq P\}$

where  $T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{TRA}$  and  $F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{fin}(\text{TRA})$

Assume a Boolean left test quantale  $S$  and  $\diamond p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F \cdot p \cdot \top$ ,  
 $\square p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\diamond \neg p}$ ,  $F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{fin } \top$ .

**Lemma 5.1** If  $S$  is right-distributive then for all  $a, b \in S$  and  $p \in \text{test}(S)$ ,

1.  $\square p \sqcap a \cdot b = (\square p \sqcap a) \cdot (\square p \sqcap b)$
2.  $\diamond p \sqcap a \cdot b = (\diamond p \sqcap a) \cdot b + \text{fin } a \cdot (\diamond p \sqcap b)$
3.  $(\square p) \cdot (\square p) = \square p$

**Lemma 5.2** Assume a right-distributive left test quantale  $S$  and  $p \in \text{test}(S)$ . The following three properties are equivalent:

1.  $p \leq \Box p$
2.  $\forall a, b \in S. p \sqcap a \cdot b = (p \sqcap a) \cdot (p \sqcap b)$
3.  $p \leq \overline{\overline{1} \cdot \overline{1}}$

**Lemma 5.3** Assume a right-distributive left test quantale  $S$  and  $p \in \text{test}(S)$ .

1.  $\Box p = p \cdot \Box p$
2. If additionally  $p \leq \Box p$  then  $\Uparrow(\Box p) = p$

## 6 Game-theoretic approach

- a *game* consists of one or more *players*
- a *move* is an action of a player
- various kinds of games

disjoint games with finite move duration

A *game round* is  $(S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdots S_n)$  and

$(S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdots S_n)^*$  describes a finite game

$(S_1 \cdot S_2 \cdots S_n)^\omega$  describes an infinite game



### why a game-theoretic approach?

- hybrid and reactive systems deal with interaction between dynamics
- the controlling and the controlled part are the proponent and the opponent
- in PRO sets of moves are just processes
- the controller has to counteract all possible failures, has to force the opponent into a “losing“ position

- game round w.r.t. winning position [DesharnaisMöllerStruth]

$$\langle a \rangle \cdot [b]$$

- traces of finite or infinite games

$$(\langle a \rangle \cdot [b])^* \text{ or } (\langle a \rangle \cdot [b])^\omega$$

- sets of winning / losing positions can be calculated by fixpoint iteration [Backhouse]

## 7 Outlook

- further aim: suitable specialisation of the general results to form new, more convenient algebraic calculi, both for safety and liveness proofs
- hope:game-theoretic approach one can obtain improved control of hybrid systems
- incorporation of hybrid(I/O) automata
- semantical models of Davoren, Lynch can be made into left domain semirings